

**Taisteluvaurioituneiden metalliosien kierrätys Recycling of battle-damaged metal scrap**

**Matine tutkimusseminaari 19.11.2024 Pasi Puukko, VTT**

Project duration 2/2024-12/2024, total budget 94 k€

**15/11/2024 VTT – beyond the obvious**

#### **Content**

- 1. Background & research questions
- 2. Implementation and project results
- 3. Conclusions

#### **Background**

- **Previous conflicts have shown, that even if critical materials and** spare parts are stored, longer crisis might cause scarcity of them
- During an extreme situation (e.g., military crisis), a spare part which would not necessarily fulfill all quality requirements during the normal situation, could help to keep troops and weapon systems functional
- 3D printing can help security of supply related to spare parts, but without feedstock material it is useless technology
	- Even if feedstock is available, 3D printing would not eliminate need to keep certain spare parts in stock, only to support this strategy

#### **Research question**

- The project aims to answer the research question of *how battledamaged or otherwise decommissioned scrap metal can be recycled back into raw material and further manufactured from this raw material into spare parts components by 3D printing.*
- The project also wants to clarify and open the *challenges* and *bottlenecks* that may be associated with this type of operation, as well as outline the *key actors* and the *necessary infrastructure*.
- 3D printing process in focus, laser-beam powder bed fusion (PBF-LB)

#### **Metal recycling from scrap to product**



#### **Implementation and amount of materials**





#### **Materials for the study**



#### **Feedstock analysis and preparation of material samples**

- Scrap metals were analyzed by portable material scanner (x-ray fluorescence, XRF) in location
- We got information about the materials also related to their origin
- Various cleaning methods were tested for selected materials:
	- Paint remover
	- Shot peening
	- Angle grinder
	- Ultrasonic bath

■ Some impurities still left on samples



#### **Manufacturability assessment**

- Single-track laser melting trials on solid substrates of all the selected alloys
- **Same experimental set applied on all alloys**
- Using the laser of the SLM 125 3D printer
- To assess 3D printability before powder (faster and cheaper)
	- Cracking, other defect formation
	- Narrow down process parameter window for 3D print trials







#### **Single-track crosscuts**



#### **Powder manufacturing and analysis**

- INC718*,* 48CrMoNi4-10 steel and Al204-T6 were selected for atomization
- Atomization was done at VTT premises with PSI Hermiga 75/5 VI
	- Vacuum induction melting, MAX input ~5kg steel eqv. and Tmax ~1700 °C
- Powder properties:
	- SEM images
	- Particle size distribution
	- Chemical composition
	- Hall flow
	- Tap density





#### **Powder properties**

chemical composition of powders matched with the standard nominal composition

INC718 48CrMoNi4-10 steel Al2014-(T6)



Size Classes (um)<br>14 Average of 'A248 Jammio\_-90 um'-10.9.2024

Hall flow, *no flow*. Apparent density 4.4 kg/l Tap density 5.4 kg/l

 $\frac{1111}{1000}$ Size Classes (um)<br>[8] Average of 'A255\_kammio\_-90 um'-10.9.2024

Hall flow: 22.8 s/50 g. Apparent density 4.5 kg/l Tap density 4.9 kg/l



Hall flow: no flow Apparent density - kg/l Tap density - kg/l

After 90 µm sieve D50 48.2 µm

After 90 µm sieve D50 53 µm

After 90 µm sieve D50 34.7 µm

## **DoE 3D printing**

- **Printing trials were carried out with VTT** SLM125HL PBF-LB machine
- **INC718 and 48CrMoNi4-10 steel were** selected for the printing trials
- Design of experiments (DoE) set was done for both materials to fine correct main parameters
- During the trial, we followed the process with thermal camera and melt pool monitoring







### **DoE 3D printing results** INC718 48CrMoNi4-10 steel

 $\blacksquare$  Evaluation of build quality:

- amount (and type) of porosity
- surface appearance
- process stability (spattering)
- dimensional stability indication
- If several equally good samples, we considered productivity
- Good parameter set was found for both materials
	- parameters for H13 tool steel were inside the suitable operation window of 48CrMoNi4-10 steel



#### **Demonstration and testing**

- **Demonstrator and test samples** manufactured from 48CrMoNi4-10 steel, as it is novel AM material, using H13 parameters
- A small spare part selected to demonstrate the concept
	- Part of firearm loading unit
- Additionally, three flat tensile test bar blanks were printed in the same build
- Vickers hardness (VH10) was measured from selected DoE samples





#### **Demonstrator**

- Demonstrator build was carried out successfully
- **Parts were detached from the** platform by electrical discharge machining (EDM)
- No heat treatments (no stress relief)
- **Tensile test bars machined from** the blanks







#### **Test results**

- **High as-built hardness, roughly** comparable to heat treated bulk steel
	- Lower heat input produced slightly higher hardness
- **Tensile test results not yet available** 
	- Based on other results, we expect rather high tensile strength
	- Results expected within two weeks





#### **15/11/2024 VTT – beyond the obvious**

#### **Conclusions**

- Technical point of view, the proposed concept seems to be feasible – up-scaling would require further development and new infrastructure
- Fast screening procedures (single tracks, DoE) provides good insight of material 3D printability

Infrastructure:

- Quite good overall availability of PBF-LB machines, in service suppliers and universities/RTOs
	- located mainly in south and west Finland
	- some machines dedicated to certain material groups
	- In addition to 3D printers, requires also some other infra (heat treatment, machining)
- Only one pilot powder manufacturing unit, too small batch size to meet the needs of production during the exceptional situation



**PBF-LB** Powder

# **Thank you!**

One stop shop for metal AM RDI